

EDITORIAL

Open Access



Improving seasonal influenza vaccination for older adults

Graham Pawelec^{1,2*} and Janet McElhaney²

Unfortunately, the worldwide COVID-19 pandemic continues unabated, but one of the few benefits conferred by the current situation is that the northern hemisphere 2020–2021 influenza season is milder and shorter than usual, and this may well be the case for the 2021–2022 season too. This is very likely due to enforced social distancing, but may also be the result of greater uptake of influenza vaccination. The 2019–2020 data indicate that for countries where COVID-19 was best contained, the impact of influenza on public health systems and fatalities was clearly lower than would have been expected otherwise [1]. However, seasonal influenza has not gone away, and it will remain a dangerous pathogen for the foreseeable future, especially in older adults who are the most susceptible segment of the population to the serious clinical consequences of influenza disease. This is despite the availability of vaccines – but for many reasons, these are not as effective as would be desired for reliable protection, unlike the apparent situation with SARS-CoV-2 vaccines [2]. Hence there is a strong argument to increase the investment of resources for understanding the hurdles to protective influenza vaccination of older adults, and there will still be an urgent need to improve vaccines in order to prevent the 500,000 or more influenza deaths every year that occurred prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

To this end, an important paper was recently published by the HKU-Pasteur Research Pole, University of Hong Kong, together with the WHO Collaborating Centre for Infectious Disease Epidemiology in Hong Kong, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, specifically regarding the immunogenicity of a standard high-dose of adjuvanted seasonal influenza vaccine compared with a recombinant-HA vaccine in older adults [3]. This paper

describes a randomized controlled trial in which immunological monitoring of older adults was not limited to humoral responses but also included elements of cell-mediated immunity (CMI) which is essential for controlling infection, especially in older adults. Thus, cellular and antibody responses of standard-dose seasonal inactivated influenza vaccines (S-IIV) was compared with “enhanced” vaccines [MF59-adjuvanted (A-eIIV), high-dose (H-eIIV), and recombinant-hemagglutinin (HA) (R-eIIV) vaccines]. It was found that similar levels of haemagglutinin-specific IgG were induced by all the vaccines, along with increased antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC). The latter was best induced by H-eIIV, whereas only A-eIIV increased HA-IgG avidity, HA-stalk IgG and ADCC activity. Importantly, polyfunctional CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses were induced by all enhanced vaccines, but not by S-IIV. It was concluded that each of the “enhanced” vaccines induced cellular and humoral responses superior to standard formulations.

It should be noted that UV-inactivated virus was used in this comparison, which can only stimulate CD8+ T cells through antigen cross-presentation to load MHC class I molecules. There are essentially no HA epitopes in humans that stimulate CD8+ T cells (in contrast to mouse models where there are many). Thus, responses will be mostly dependent on helper T cells, emphasizing the potential importance of the “enhanced” formulations in stimulating polyfunctional CD4+ responses. These results contrast with earlier data from Sridhar et al. [4] and Wilkinson et al. [5] which are repeatedly referenced as correlates of protection, but which were generated based on data from a model of direct loading of MHC class I molecules with peptides representing viral nucleoprotein (NP) and matrix (M) protein. The Li et al. [3] paper is therefore important because it justifies the use of the only enhanced vaccine that contains these internal proteins. Most important for future work will be

* Correspondence: graham.pawelec@uni-tuebingen.de

¹Department of Immunology, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

²Health Sciences North Research Institute, Sudbury, Ontario, Canada



© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

detailed studies of CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses to virus challenge and related frequencies of cells activated by NP/M peptides, in particular aspects of polyfunctionality assessing the balance between “pro-inflammatory” cytokines (eg. interferon-gamma, tumour necrosis factor, IL 2) and “anti-inflammatory” cytokines (eg. IL 4, IL 10) which can be very informative as “correlates of protection” in other circumstances [6].

Acknowledgements

none

Authors' contributions

Both authors wrote and revised the manuscript. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

no further information.

Funding

no specific funding

Availability of data and materials

not applicable.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

not applicable.

Consent for publication

both authors consent to publication.

Competing interests

both authors declare no competing interests.

Published online: 12 March 2021

References

1. Chan KS, Liang FW, Tang HJ, Toh HS, Yu WL. Collateral benefits on other respiratory infections during fighting COVID-19. *Med Clin (Barc)*. 2020;155(6): 249–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medcli.2020.05.026>.
2. Pawelec G, McElhaney J. Unanticipated efficacy of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in older adults. *Immun Ageing*. 2021;18(1):7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12979-021-00219-y>.
3. Li APY, Cohen CA, Leung NHL, Fang VJ, Gangappa S, Sambhara S, Levine MZ, Iuliano AD, Perera RAPM, Ip DKM, Peiris JSM, Thompson MG, Cowling BJ, Valkenburg SA. Immunogenicity of standard, high-dose, MF59-adjuvanted, and recombinant-HA seasonal influenza vaccination in older adults. *NPJ Vaccines*. 2021;6(1):25. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41541-021-00289-5>.
4. Sridhar S, Begom S, Bermingham A, Hoschler K, Adamson W, Carman W, Bean T, Barclay W, Deeks JJ, Lalvani A. Cellular immune correlates of protection against symptomatic pandemic influenza. *Nat Med*. 2013;19(10): 1305–12. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3350>.
5. Wilkinson TM, Li CK, Chui CS, Huang AK, Perkins M, Liebner JC, et al. Preexisting influenza-specific CD4+ T cells correlate with disease protection against influenza challenge in humans. *Nat Med*. 2012;18(2):274–80. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2612>.
6. Zelba H, Weide B, Martens A, Derhovanessian E, Bailur JK, Kyzirakos C, Pflugfelder A, Eigentler TK, di Giacomo AM, Maio M, Aarntzen EHJG, de Vries J, Sucker A, Schadendorf D, Büttner P, Garbe C, Pawelec G. Circulating CD4+ T cells that produce IL4 or IL17 when stimulated by melan-a but not by NY-ESO-1 have negative impacts on survival of patients with stage IV melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2014;20(16):4390–9. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-1015>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

